



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,699	03/04/2004	Robertus H. P. F. Schaap	SCHAAP1	5119
1444	7590	12/28/2006	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			JACKSON, ANDRE L	
624 NINTH STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300			3677	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTHS	12/28/2006		PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,699	SCHAAP ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andre' L. Jackson	3677		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 November 2006.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 3-11 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Here, applicant has not complied or overlooked the requirements of the above section.

In particular, applicant fails to omit legal phraseology used in the Abstract. The legal phraseology objected to is the term "**said**" found in lines 1 and 8 respectively of the amended Abstract. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 11 recites the limitations "**the first curved tube**" and "**the curved part tube**" in lines 8 and 11 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitation in the claim.

Note: These limitations were present in now cancelled claim 1 and appears applicant may have copied the new text over without addressing the antecedent basis outstanding issue.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-11 are believed to be allowed over the prior art made of record.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest every limitation or combination of limitations set forth in applicant's amended claims. In particular, the prior art fails to disclose or suggest a caster support device comprising a curved tube element, a curved part element, the curved part element having a first portion with a curvature identical to the curved tube element. The first portion of the curved part element fits inside the curved tube element, where the first portion of the curved part element can telescope or shift inside the curved tube element providing an increase or decrease overlap between the first portion and the curved tube element wherein the inclination of a caster element is adjustable by increasing or decreasing the overlap between the first portion and the curved tube element.

Subsequently, none of the prior art references made or record disclose or render obvious the specific structural limitations as set forth in applicant's amended claims, whether taken singly or used in combination. Therefore, the Examiner is of the opinion that applicant's as presently presented are patentably distinct from the prior art of record.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's arguments on pages 8 and 9 filed in the Amendment of November 17, 2006, with respect to the rejection of claims 1, 4-6, 9 and 10 made over Horacek have been fully considered and are persuasive, thus the rejection is respectfully withdrawn. Accordingly, amended claims 3-11 are believed to be allowable over the prior art made of record.

Conclusion

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

- Abstract still includes legal phraseology, such as the term "said".
- Antecedent basis issues found in claim 11.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' L. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7067.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (10 am - 6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALJ

André L. Jackson
Patent Examiner
AU 3677


ROBERT J. SANDY
PRIMARY EXAMINER